Understanding The Reasons Behind UFO Secrecy

Fifty years of secrecy over UFO/ET technologies has meant that we have been denied not just the truth but access to non-polluting energy sources which could save our planet from eco-disaster.

by Steven M. Greer, MD

© 2001-2007

The Disclosure Project PO Box 265 Crozet, VA 22932, USA Website:

http://www.disclosureproject.org

Introduction

ver the past few years I have had the responsibility of briefing senior government and scientific leaders both in the US and abroad on the UFO/extraterrestrial subject. The evidence regarding this subject is clear and overwhelming: it has not been difficult to make a compelling case for the reality of UFOs per se. What is a greater challenge is elucidating the architecture of secrecy related to UFOs (see the exposition of this matter contained in the paper entitled "Unacknowledged" by this author). But the greatest challenge is explaining the "why". Why all the secrecy? Why a "black" or unacknowledged government within the government? Why hide the UFO/ET subject from public view?

The "what", or evidence, is complex but manageable. The "how", or nature of the secret programs, is more difficult, much more complex and Byzantine. But the "why"—the reason behind the secrecy—is the most challenging problem of all. There is not a single answer to this question, but rather numerous interrelated reasons for such extraordinary secrecy. Our investigations and interviews with dozens of top-secret witnesses who have been within such programs have enabled us to understand the reasons behind this secrecy. They range from the fairly obvious and straightforward to the really bizarre. Here, I wish to share some key points regarding this secrecy, why it has been imposed and why it is so difficult for the controlling interests within covert programs to reverse policy and allow disclosure.

In the beginning

In the early days of the UFO/ET phenomenon, military, intelligence and industrial interests had concerns regarding the nature of the phenomenon, whether it originated from our human adversaries, and, once it was determined to be extraterrestrial, how the public would react.

In the 1930s and 1940s, this was no small matter: if these UFOs were of terrestrial origin, they would be evidence of an Earthly adversary with technological devices far in advance of US aircraft. And once it was determined to be extraterrestrial (some quarters knew this prior to the end of World War II), there were many more questions than answers. Why are the ETs here? What are their intentions? How do the devices travel at such fantastic speeds and through the vastness of space? How might these technologies be applied to the human situation—both in war and in peace? How would the public react to this knowledge? What effect would the disclosure of these facts have on human belief systems, on political and social systems?

From the late 1940s through the early 1950s, a concerted effort was made to figure out the basic science and technologies behind these spacecraft, primarily through the direct study and reverse-engineering of the extraterrestrial objects retrieved from New Mexico and elsewhere. It was immediately recognised that these objects were using laws of physics and applied technologies far in advance of internal combustion engines, vacuum tubes and the like. In the climate of the Cold War and in a world where a relatively minor advantage technologically could tilt the balance of power in the nuclear arms race, this was no small matter.

Indeed, the theme of human geopolitical dysfunction appears as a recurring feature of the secrecy related to UFOs—up to the present hour. More on this later.

From the Wilbert Smith top-secret Canadian government document of 1950, we know that this subject was held in greater secrecy than even the development of the hydrogen bomb. There was a tremendous effort underway by the late 1940s to study extraterrestrial hardware, figure out how it operated and see what human applications might be made from such discoveries. Even then, the project dealing with this subject was extraordinarily covert.

It became much more so by the early 1950s when substantial progress was made on some of the basic physics behind the ET craft energy and propulsion systems.

The best we can estimate is that it was then that the entire project became increasingly "black" or unacknowledged. The compartmentalisation of the project dealing with UFOs was exponentially increased by the early 1950s when it was realised what it was that these covert projects actually had: devices displaying physics and energy systems which—if disclosed—would forever alter life on Earth.

By the Eisenhower era, the UFO/ET projects were increasingly compartmented *away* from legal, constitutional, chain-of-command oversight and control.

This means that—while we know from witness testimony that Eisenhower knew of the ET craft—the president (and similar leaders in the UK and elsewhere) were increasingly left out of the loop. Such senior elected and appointed leaders were confronted with (as Eisenhower called it) a sophisticated military-industrial complex with labyrinthine compartmented projects which were more and more out of their control and oversight.

From direct witness testimony we know that Eisenhower, Kennedy, Carter and Clinton were frustrated in their attempts to penetrate such projects. This is also true of senior congressional leaders and investigators, foreign leaders and UN leadership.

This is indeed an equal-opportunity exclusion project. It does not

matter how high your rank or office: if you are not deemed necessary to the project, you are not going to know about it, period.

Contrary to popular myth, since the 1960s concern over some type of public panic over the fact that we are not alone in the universe has not been a major reason for the secrecy. Those in the know—notwithstanding the fantastic tales spun in UFO circles and on the *X-Files*—understand that fear of hostile ETs has also not been a significant factor. While there has been continued confusion in some covert circles over the ultimate purpose behind

the ET phenomenon, we know of no knowledgeable insiders who regard the ETs as hostile and a threat.

By the 1960s, and certainly by the 1990s, the world was very familiar with the concept of space travel, and the popular science-fiction industry had thoroughly indoctrinated the masses with the idea of ETs from far away being a possibility. So why the continued secrecy?

The Cold War is over. People would hardly be shocked to find out that we are not alone in the universe (the majority of people already believe this—in fact, most people believe that the UFOs are real). Besides, what could be more shocking than to live through the latter half of the 20th century with thousands of hydrogen bombs aimed at every major city in the world? If we can handle that, surely we can handle the idea that ETs are real.

The facile explanations of fear, panic, shock and the like do not suffice to justify a level of secrecy so deep that even the president and his CIA director could be denied access to the information.

A current estimate on technologies

Continued secrecy on the UFO subject must be related, then, to ongoing anxiety related to the essential power dynamics of the world and how such a disclosure would impact these. That is, the knowledge related to the UFO/ET phenomenon must have such great potential for changing the status quo that its continued suppression is deemed essential at all costs.

Going back to the early 1950s, we have found that the basic technology and physics behind these ET spacecraft were discovered through very intensive reverse-engineering projects. It was precisely at this point that the decision was made to increase the secrecy to an unprecedented level—one which essentially took the matter out of the ordinary government chain of command and control as we know it. Why?

Aside from the possible use of such knowledge by US/UK adversaries during the Cold War, it was immediately recognised that these devices were not your dad's Oldsmobile. The basic physics behind the energy generation and propulsion systems was such that these systems could easily replace all existing energy generation and propulsion systems on the Earth—and with them, the entire geopolitical and economic order.

In the 1950s, there was no great concern over global warming, ecosystem collapse, ozone depletion, rainforest loss, biodiversity degradation, etc. In the wake of WWII, what was needed was stability, not a new convulsion of the world economic, technological and geopolitical order. Remember: those in control like to stay in control. They are risk averse, do not like significant change and do not give up control and power easily.

The disclosure of the existence of ETs, with the inevitable

disclosure related to these new technologies soon to follow, would change the world forever—and they knew it. This was to be avoided at all costs. Besides, that was the era of "what is good for GM is good for America" and the same would be true of big oil, big coal and the like.

The inescapable fact is this: the disclosure of the ET presence would bring with it the certain release of these technologies—and that release would sweep away the entire technological infrastructure of the planet. The changes would be immense—and sudden.

Fifty years later, this is more true now than then. Why? Because avoiding the problem in the 1950s—while convenient at the time—means that the situation is more tenuous now. For example, world dependence on oil and internal combustion technology is greater now than in 1955. And the world economy is larger by orders of magnitude now, so any change would be exponentially greater—and potentially more chaotic.

And so this is the conundrum: each decade and generation has passed this problem on to the next, only to find any path but continued secrecy to be more destabilising than it would have been a decade earlier. In a maddening circle of secrecy, delay of disclosure and increasing world complexity and dependence on out-dated energy systems, each generation has found itself in a greater squeeze than the one before. As difficult as disclosure would have been in the 1950s, disclosure now is even more difficult—and potentially Earth-shaking in its consequences.

The technological discoveries of the 1950s resulting from the reverse-engineering of extraterrestrial craft could have enabled us to completely transform the world economic, social, technological and environmental situations. That such advancements have been withheld from the public is related to the change-averse nature of the controlling hierarchy at the time—and to this day.

And make no mistake: the changes would be immense. Consider: a technology which enables energy generation from the so-called zero-point field and which enables every home, business, factory and

vehicle to have its own source of power—without an external fuel source, ever. No need for oil, gas, coal, nuclear plants or the internal combustion engine—and no pollution, period.

Consider: a technology using electrogravitic devices which allows for above-surface transportation—no more roadways to cover fertile farmland, since transportation could take place totally above the surface.

Sounds great. But in the 1950s, oil was plentiful, nobody worried too much about pollution, global warming was not the faintest concern and the powers-that-be just wanted stability, the status quo. And besides, why risk the tectonic changes related to such a disclosure? Let a later generation take care of it.

But now, we are that later generation. The Earth is straining under the burden of a growing population—now six billion people—all of whom want cars, electricity, TVs and the like. Everyone knows that we do not have 50 more years of oil—and even if we did, the Earth's ecosystem could not withstand 50 more years of such abuse. The risks of disclosure are now much *less* than the risks of secrecy: if secrecy continues much longer, the Earth's ecosystem will collapse. Talk about a big change and global instability...

Many people will consider the technological and economic impact of such a disclosure as the central justification of continued secrecy. After all, we are talking about a *multitrillion*-dollar-per-year change in

the economy. The entire energy and transportation sectors of the economy would be revolutionised. And the energy sector—the part where nonrenewable fuels are purchased and burned and have to be replenished—will utterly vanish. And while other industries will flourish, only a fool would dismiss the impact of such a multitrillion-dollar segment of the economy disappearing.

Certainly the "vested interests" involved in the global industrial infrastructure related to oil, gas, coal, internal combustion engines and public utilities are no small force in the world.

But to understand UFO secrecy, you must consider what all that money represents at its core: power. Massive geopolitical power.

One must consider what will happen when every village in India (or Africa or South America or China) has devices that can generate large amounts of power without pollution and without spending huge sums of energy on fuel. The entire world will be able to develop in an unprecedented fashion—without pollution and without billions spent on power plants, transmission lines and combustible fuels. The havenots will have.

This will widely be considered a good thing—after all, much of world instability, warfare and the like is related to mind-numbing poverty and economic deprivation in a world of great wealth. Social injustice and extreme economic disparity breed much chaos and suffering in the world. These decentralised, nonpolluting technologies will change that permanently. Even the deserts will bloom...

But it must be remembered that geopolitical power flows from technological and economic prowess. India has over one billion people and the US about one-fourth of that, but who has the greater geopolitical power?

As these new energy systems proliferate, the so-called Third World will *rapidly* reach parity with the industrialised world of Europe, the US and Japan. This will cause a massive shift in geopolitical power. And the industrialised world will find that it must then actually share power with the now downtrodden Third World. Those in the cat-bird

seat currently have no interest in doing any such thing; nor did they in 1950. We can hardly even support and share power in the United Nations.

The release of information on the UFO/ET subject will lead to the global proliferation of new energy systems which will rapidly result in an equalisation of power in the world. The US and Europe have around 600 million people. That is only 10 per cent of the world's population. Once the other 90 per cent rise in technological and economic standing, it is clear that the geopolitical power will shift to—or equalise with—the rest of the world. Power will have to be shared. Real global collective security will be inevitable. It will be the end of the world as we know it.

When you combine the economic and technological impact with the geopolitical impact, it becomes obvious that the changes related to ending secrecy are truly tectonic—massive, world-encircling and transformative. It is not to be regarded lightly.

But 50 years after the world *could* have had these new technologies—and with 50 long years of ecological degradation, social and economic chaos and disparity—we find that we are the last generation in the long line of passing the cosmic hot potato known as the UFO secrecy problem.

And here we stand, holding this hot potato, but what shall we do about it?

To end the secrecy means vast and profound changes in virtually every aspect of human existence—economic, social, technological, philosophical, geopolitical and so forth. But to continue the secrecy and the suppression of these new energy and propulsion technologies means something far more destabilising: the collapse of the Earth's ecosystem and the certain depletion of the fossil fuels on which we depend. And the growing anger of the have-nots, who are needlessly being deprived of a full and dignified life. There are no more generations to which we can pass this cosmic hot potato: we must deal

with it and do what should have been done in 1950.

But to understand UFO secrecy, you must consider what all that money represents at its core: power. Massive geopolitical power.

The webs we weave

As if the foregoing were not enough to justify secrecy, recall that extraordinary things have been done to maintain this secrecy. The infrastructure needed to maintain and expand the level of secrecy which can deceive presidents, CIA directors, senior congressional leaders and European prime ministers and the like is substantial—and illegal.

Let me be clear: the entity which controls the UFO matter and its related technologies has more power than any single government in the world or any single identified world leader.

That such a situation could arise was forewarned by President Eisenhower when, in January 1961, he cautioned us regarding the growing "military-industrial complex". This was his last speech to the world as president—and he was warning us directly of a frightening situation about which he had personal knowledge. For Eisenhower had seen the ET craft and deceased ET bodies. He knew of the covert programs dealing with the situation. But he also knew that he had lost control of these projects and that "they" were lying to him about the extent and full nature of their research and development activities.

Indeed, the current state-of-the-art in secrecy is a hybrid, quasigovernment, quasi-privatised operation which is international—and functions outside of the purview of any single agency or any single government. "The government"—as you and I and Thomas Jefferson may think of it—is really quite outside the loop. Rather, a select, tightly controlled and compartmentalised "black" or unacknowledged project controls these matters. Access is by inclusion alone, and if you are not included it does not matter if you are CIA director, president, chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee or UN secretary-general: you simply will not know about or have access to these projects.

Indeed, the situation is so dire that senior Joint Chiefs of Staff leaders in the Pentagon whom I have briefed have no more access to such projects than any other civilian—unless they are on the "inside" for some reason. But this is rare.

To acquire and maintain such power, all types of things have been done. We are reminded of that Robert Frost poem in which he describes "the webs we weave".

But how does such an entity extract itself from such a web of secrecy, deceit, lies and insubordination?

To be specific, this group has usurped power and rights not legally granted to it. It is extra-constitutional, in both the US and the UK and in other countries around the world.

I will grant the possibility that, at least initially, this covert undertaking was designed to maintain secrecy and avoid instability. But the risks of inadvertent leaks—or a national or world leader deciding legally that it was time for disclosure—made it essential to

weave a web of greater and greater secrecy and of illegal operations. And now the web has closed in on the operation itself.

That is, the complexity of the compartmentalised projects, the degree of unconstitutional and unauthorised activity, the "privatisation" (or theft) by corporate partners (the "industrial" part of the military-industrial complex) of advanced technologies, the continued lying to legally elected and appointed leaders and to the public—all of these and more have contributed to a psychology of continued secrecy,

because disclosure would expose the greatest scandal in recorded history.

For example, how would the public react to the fact that the degradation of the entire Earth's ecosystem and the irretrievable loss of thousands of species of plants and animals now extinct due to pollution has been utterly unnecessary—and could have been avoided if only an honest release of this information had occurred in the 1950s?

How would society react to the knowledge that trillions of dollars have been spent on unauthorised, unconstitutional projects over the years? And that these taxpayer dollars have been used by corporate partners in this secrecy to develop spin-off technologies based on the study of ET objects which were later patented and used in highly profitable technologies? Not only have the taxpayers been defrauded, they have then been made to pay a premium for such breakthroughs which were the result of research paid for by them! And this does not address the intellectual property theft of such technologies from the ETs. While the basic energy generation and propulsion technologies have been withheld, these corporate partners have profited wildly from other breakthroughs and benefits in electronics, miniaturisation and related areas. Such covert technology transfers constitute a multitrillion-dollar theft of technologies which really should be in the public domain, since taxpayers have paid for them.

And how would the public react to the fact that the multibillion-

dollar space program, using internal combustion rockets and the like, has been a primitive and an unnecessary experiment, since much more advanced technologies and propulsion systems were in existence before we ever went to the Moon? NASA and related agencies have, for the most part, been as much a victim of this secrecy as has the rest of the government and the public. Only a small, very compartmentalised fraction of NASA people know of the real ET technologies hidden away in these projects. Certainly my uncle, who helped design the lunar module which took Neil Armstrong to the Moon, was as much a victim as any one insofar as he was denied access to these technological breakthroughs. He had to rely on the old physics and the old internal combustion jet thruster technologies just like everyone else. What a shame.

The inescapable reality is this: this secret project, no matter how well intentioned initially, got carried away with its own secret power. It abused this power. It has hijacked our future for 50 years. In fact, the quiet *coup d'état* of the late 1940s and early 1950s, once exposed, could result in real instability today.

Relations with ETs

How would society react

to the knowledge that trillions

of dollars have been spent on

unauthorised, unconstitutional

projects over the years?

But the situation is actually much worse than this.

All that is written above is dwarfed by a larger problem: the covert group running these UFO-related black projects has also had exclusive sway over the early days of an embryonic extraterrestrial-human

relationship. And it has been tragically mismanaged—nearly to the point of genuine global catastrophe.

For what happens when an unelected, unappointed, self-selecting, militarily oriented group alone has to deal with inter-species relations between humans and ETs? Well, as in most areas, if you wear rose-coloured glasses the entire world looks red. And if you are wearing military glasses, every new and uncontrolled development will be seen as a potential or real military threat.

The nature of such a group—which is inordinately controlled and incestuous—

is that it is homogenous in world view and mindset. Power and control are pre-eminent qualities. Such extreme secrecy creates a very dangerous milieu in which checks and balances, give and take, are utterly lacking. And in such an environment, very dangerous decisions can be made with inadequate feedback, discussion or insight from needed perspectives which are, perforce, excluded.

We have found that, in such an environment of extreme secrecy, militarism and paranoia, immensely dangerous actions have been taken against the ETs. Indeed, we have multiple inside sources who have described to us the use of increasingly advanced technologies to track, target and destroy extraterrestrial assets. If there is even a 10 per cent chance that this is true (I am convinced it is 100 per cent accurate), we are dealing with a global, diplomatic and social crisis which is utterly out of our control but which puts the entire planet at risk

Remember, covert reverse-engineering projects have resulted in huge quantum leaps forward in technologies that, once applied to military systems, could be a real threat to ETs who may be here peacefully. The attempts to rapidly militarise space are likely a result of a myopic, militaristic and paranoid view of extraterrestrial projects and intentions. If left unchecked, it can only result in catastrophe. Indeed this group, no matter how well intentioned, is in urgent need of

Continued on page 79

Understanding The Reasons Behind UFO Secrecy

Continued from page 60

exposure so that global statesmen with a new perspective can intercede in this situation.

While we see no evidence that the ET civilisations are at all hostile, it is also clear that it is unlikely that they will allow unfettered and growing interference with their operations. Self-defence is likely a universal quality. And while tremendous restraint has been shown by the ETs thus far, might there be a "cosmic trip-wire" if human covert technologies begin to reach parity and we are using such advanced technologies in a bellicose fashion? The prospect is sobering.

Our future in the balance

We need our Jimmy Carters and Dalai Lamas and other international statesmen involved with so vast a problem. But if access is denied—and the subject remains undisclosed and off the global radar screen—we are left with the unelected few to decide our fate and act on our behalf. This must change, and soon.

In the final analysis, while the changes attendant on such a disclosure related to UFOs and ETs would be massive and profoundly impact virtually every aspect of life on Earth, it is still the right thing to do to disclose the truth. Secrecy has taken on a life of its own: it is a growing cancer which needs to be cured before it destroys the life of Earth and all who dwell on her.

The reasons for secrecy are clear: global power, economic and technological control, retaining the geopolitical status quo, the fear of scandal surrounding the exposure of such projects and their behaviour, and so forth.

But the one thing more dangerous than disclosure is continued secrecy. The Earth is dying because we are killing her. The top 250 people and families in the world have the net worth of US\$2.5 billion of our poorest citizens. The promising relationship between humanity and people from other planets is being militarised and strained by failed thinking and failed programs run completely in secret.

As daunting as disclosure may be, with all its potential for short-term instability and change, continued secrecy means that we will destroy the Earth through our folly and greed. The future of humanity, which has been delayed and hijacked for the past 50 years, cannot be hijacked for 50 more. For we do not have 50 more years: the Earth's ecosystem will collapse before then.

There are no easy choices. But there is one right one. Will you help us make it?

About the Author:

Steven M. Greer, MD, is a medical doctor and director of The Disclosure Project, which he founded. In May 2001, Dr Greer presided over The Disclosure Project Press Conference from the National Press Club in Washington, DC, where over 20 insiders presented testimony confirming the existence of UFOs and ETs. Dr Greer has also been supervising a worldwide search for alternative energy sources, specifically zero-point or over-unity devices, with the plan to identify and develop systems which will eliminate the need for fossil fuels.

Dr Greer recently published *Hidden Truth, Forbidden Knowledge*, which tells the story of his spiritual journey, his relationship with ETs and his encounters with those forces trying to keep the ET/UFO and energy issues secret. Extracts were published in NEXUS 13/06 and 14/01–02. The book (reviewed in 13/06) is available from your nearest NEXUS office or from Crossing Point, Inc., PO Box 265, Crozet, VA 22932, USA.

For more information about Dr Greer and his research work, visit the websites http://www.DisclosureProject.org and http://www.SEASpower.com.